IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF INDIANA

IN THE MATTER OF)
JAMES G. TYLER) Case No. 62S00-0403-DI-135)
ORDER APPROVING STATEMENT OF CIRCUMSTANCES AND CONDITIONAL AGREEMENT FOR DISCIPLINE	
Disciplinary Commission and the response	pline Rule 23, Section 11, the Indiana Supreme Court ondent have submitted for approval a <i>Statement of the for Discipline</i> stipulating agreed facts and proposed
July of 2001, an individual got into a physica	nt was a deputy prosecuting attorney in Perry County. In all altercation with three of her relatives. The three relatives in the Perry Circuit Court. The petitions alleged damage to atives
The respondent negotiated with the individ-	ve orders, the relatives hired respondent to represent them. dual's attorney for a settlement in which each side was r. The petitions for protective orders were then dismissed.
1.8(1)], which prohibits a part-time deputy p	Ind. Professional Conduct Rule 1.8(k) [now Prof.Cond.R. prosecutor from representing a private client in any matter atutory prosecutorial authority or responsibilities.
Discipline: Public Reprimand.	
The Court, having considered the submagreed discipline. Costs of this proceeding an	ission of the parties, now APPROVES and ORDERS the re assessed against the respondent.
attorney, the Indiana Supreme Court Discipl	forward a copy of this Order to the respondent and his inary Commission, the hearing officer, Phyllis Kenworthy, IN 47404 and in accordance with the provisions of
DONE at Indianapolis, Indiana, this	day of March, 2005.
	D 1 11 77 C1 1
	Randall T. Shepard Chief Justice of Indiana

All Justices concur.